

**Professor Kathryn E. Stecke**

Office: School of Management SOM 2.615

Phone: (972) 883-4781

Email: kstecke@utdallas.edu

**Course Synopsis**

U.S. manufacturing companies are continuing to be threatened by radical changes in the competitiveness within their industries. Automated manufacturing is viewed as one means of helping to regain or maintain international competitiveness. The use of automation in manufacturing (machining, fabrication, assembly, etc.) is continually increasing. A flexible manufacturing system provides just-in-time performance and can allow competition along the dimensions of time, service, quality, delivery, flexibility, and sometimes cost.

The course will be interspersed with lectures, cases, and short films on the various types of automation, problems that need to be addressed, and solution methods. Examples of many international systems (from Italy, Germany, Japan, Czechoslovakia, England, U.S., and others) will be discussed to show the wide variety of system designs (and problems). Strategic as well as economic justification issues will be addressed.

A special half-day session will be a tour of the fully automated flexible systems at the Texas Instruments DM0S5 Wafer Fab Plant on **Friday, October 24** to see first-hand what unique operational problems (and opportunities) exist. It is an integrated circuit manufacturing facility. It is the 15<sup>th</sup> largest clean room in the world. We'll tour the entire line, seeing the diffusion process, plasma etching, photolithography, chemical and mechanical polishing, and more. Detailed discussions with the system managers and operators can take place.

Part of the course will focus on operational issues and problems, and will include case analyses to demonstrate the problems actually faced. We will learn by analyzing the case situations of real firms as they fumble, or succeed, in dealing with the opportunities that are potentially available from the new technologies. The cases detail several Japanese and American flexible manufacturing systems. We also will learn from the readings, lectures, tours, and group presentations on particular topics.

**General Information**

Because of the relative newness of the technologies, there isn't yet an appropriate textbook available. Hence, the materials will come solely from the cases and readings in the Course Pack and in some handouts during the semester. I am in my office most afternoons and evenings. Please stop by (**SOM 2.615**), call me (**972-883-4781**), or leave me a note.

In order to emphasize the role of the general manager in operations in a modern automated manufacturing environment, cases will be used throughout the course. In each instance, there will be a decision orientation with a specific manager or group of managers having responsibility to develop specific action plans. **One objective of this course** is to help you to understand how modern operations function within a firm, the primary operating tasks that must be dealt with in different environments, and the ways in which operations can be organized to accomplish these tasks.

**Another objective of the course** is to improve your decision-making ability for complex situations. Contemporary operations consist of knowledge, technology, capital, and human resources which interact with one another, and any proposal must consider system-wide consequences. Operating managers are paid for making decisions and not for performing analyses; however, studies of operating managers indicate that those who perform extensive analysis consistently make better decisions than their “*shoot from the hip*” counterparts.

Some additional particular *objectives* of this course are to:

1. Further develop a managerial point of view--a capacity for analyzing operating problems in technologically advanced systems on a functional, business unit, and company-wide basis.
2. Integrate the knowledge gained in previous business courses and to extend that into the area of contemporary automation operations problems.
3. Suggest the range of general management issues that must be considered in technologically advanced enterprises in effectively handling individual operating decisions with a strategic point of view.
4. Introduce the details concerning the variety of types of flexible automation, including flexible manufacturing systems, integrated circuit fabrication and assembly, and robotics, with an emphasis on how these differ from conventional manufacturing.
5. Understand the strategic benefits as well as the economic justification issues.
6. Learn about the design, planning, scheduling, control, and integration problems that are unique to automation.
7. Understand the benefits and problems of many types of flexibility.

### **Course Preparation**

Each small group of four to six students will write a short, applied research paper on a topic of the course or a related topic selected with the permission of the instructor. These will be on some mutually agreed upon topic, such as system integration issues, tool management, flexible assembly, flexibility issues, and automation software issues, for example. The main purposes of this group project are to let you explore in some detail a topic concerning flexible automation that is of interest to you, and to have the entire class learn from your research effort. Second, I am interested in having you polish your interpersonal and communication skills.

A proposal for the paper in the form of a title, a one-page summary of objectives, abstract, and expected outcomes for this topic is due by **Monday, October 13**. The final paper should not exceed 12 double-spaced pages, including references and supporting materials, such as exhibits, tables, figures, and/or appendices. The oral reports will be presented in class on **December 4** and the final paper due on **December 11**. Accompanying each written report should be a set of photocopies of the overheads used in the oral presentation. Preparation and distribution of all materials (e.g., photocopies of overheads) to your classmates is the responsibility of each group. Topics will be accepted on a first-come/first-served basis, so it is in your interest to form your groups and select your topics as soon as possible, and by **October 13** at the latest.

The final grade is determined by an average of the midterm (33 1/3%), final paper and presentation (33 1/3%), and class participation (33 1/3%). I keep accurate records of class participation, which consists of any questions, comments, suggestions, and analyses that facilitate class learning. I evaluate the quality of your contribution, not how many times you speak.

In order to obtain the full benefit of the case approach, it is necessary that everyone comes to class well prepared for an intelligent and interesting class discussion. This does not mean that “you

have solved the case” or “have all the answers” to the case. Invariably, given the complexities of the real world, there is no answer, as such. However, it does mean that you have thoroughly read the case, and other assigned materials, have intelligently thought about the issues raised by the case and associated assignment questions, and have done whatever quantitative analysis is appropriate. In class, your instructor will act as moderator, questioner, and lecturer to help guide the learning process. By actively participating in class discussions, you will sharpen your own insights, and those of your classmates. You will also not only learn the “content” of the course, but perhaps more importantly, the “process” of analysis, formulation, and implementation.

### **Attendance**

There are occasional legitimate conflicts that prevent a student from completely preparing a given case, or from attending class. If you are not able to fully prepare for class, please attend anyway and participate as best you can. But, please tell me of your situation before class, so that I will not ask you questions that you are not prepared well enough to discuss. If you must miss a class, again, please inform me so that I understand your situation. By enrolling in this course, you are, in effect, agreeing to do your best to attend and contribute to the group learning experience.

### **Reserve**

The following book is on reserve in McDermott Library.

- Horst Tempelmeier and Heinrich Kuhn, Flexible Manufacturing Systems: Decision Support for Design and Operation, John Wiley & Sons, NY (1993).

## Course Outline

### Session 1, August 21 - Course Introduction.

Learning objectives for this session are:

- **Develop an understanding of what an automated, flexible machining system is and what its capabilities are.**
- **Become familiar with the basic vocabulary of flexible automation.**

1. Read:

- “Not Your Father’s Space Shuttle,” Modern Applications News (March 2007).
- “European Fab Shops Profit with Automation,” Modern Applications News (July 2006).
- “CAM Cuts Errors 80%, Programming Time 66%,” Modern Applications News (May 2005).
- “Designing with CAD, Artist Sculpts Choppers,” Modern Applications News (May 2005).
- “CAD/CAM,” Modern Applications News (February 2005).
- “CAD, CAM, or CAD/CAM? Is It For Your Shop?,” Modern Applications News (April 2004).
- “Euro Fabricators Use Hands-Off Approach,” Modern Applications News (August 2003).
- “Texas Precision Manufacturing on an Ego Tripp,” Winner’s Circle (June 2001).
- “James F. Manji, “Microbrewery Draws Big Benefits from PLC Control,” Managing Automation (April 1998).

2. Flexible manufacturing systems (FMSs) and their components and benefits will be defined. Differences from conventional systems will be explained. Many examples of existing systems from various countries will be described, in order to demonstrate the wide variety of systems (and problems).

### Session 2, August 28 - Introduction to Flexible Automation.

Learning objectives for this session:

- **Develop an understanding of what an automated, flexible machining system is and what its capabilities are.**
- **Become familiar with the basic vocabulary of flexible automation.**

1. Read:

- “Getting by With a Little Help from Friends,” Modern Applications News (April 2008).
- “Universal Storage System Automates Fab Shops,” Modern Applications News (May 2005).
- “Mobile Parts Hospital Uses Quick Change Tooling,” Production Technology News (Feb 2004).
- “Computer Numerical Controls,” Modern Applications News (July 2003).
- “FMS Turns Work Cells Into Manufacturing High Flyers,” Modern Applications News (December 1999).
- Martin Piszczalski, “Strategies for Spending Millions,” Managing Automation (August 1987).
- Paul Kinnucan, “Flexible Systems Invade the Factory,” High Technology (July 1983).

2. A film featuring several American FMSs will be shown.

### Session 3, September 4 - Strategic Considerations of Flexibility.

Learning objectives for this session:

- **Understand the risks involved when innovating with new technologies.**
- **Recognize the organizational support that is needed to support technological change.**
- **Understand the significant advantages from flexible automation and the value of flexibility.**

• **Appreciate the newer strategies in selling and using FMSs.**

1. Read:

- “A Plastic Dream Machine,” Business Week (June 2005).
- “Breakthrough 5-Axis Technology Dramatically Improves Production of Eurofighter Large Parts,” WolfTracks (2001).
- “LTV Aerospace to Remain Independent Under Lockheed,” Aviation Week and Space Technology (February 10, 1992).

2. Prepare Vought Aero Products: Factory of the Future.

- a. What were the major barriers to successful introduction and implementation of the flexible machining cell (FMC)? How were these barriers overcome? Why did Vought succeed where others failed? What were their particular operating problems? What did the company learn about managing advanced and automated manufacturing projects from the FMC?
- b. What is the role of the Industrial Modernization (IMOD) group at Vought? What is the role of the Manufacturing Development and Support (MD&S) group? Are both groups necessary?
- c. Should Vought build the Integrated Machining System (IMS)? Why or why not? What are the risks of each project? How do its risks and benefits compare with those of the Flexible Composites Center (FCC)?

Session 4, September 11 - Manufacturing Flexibility.

Learning objectives for this session:

- **Discover how a lot of benefits can be obtained from a little flexibility.**
- **Understand various risks of disruptions in a supply chain.**
- **Explore how flexibility can help in risk mitigation.**
- **Learn about the state-of-the-art research and practices in flexibility and risk mitigation.**

1. Read:

- W.C. Jordan and S.C. Graves, “Principles on the Benefits of Manufacturing Process Flexibility,” Management Science, pp. 577-587 (April 1995).
- Jim Browne, Didier Dubois, Keith Rathmill, Suresh P. Sethi, and Kathryn E. Stecke, “Classification of Flexible Manufacturing Systems,” The FMS Magazine, pp. 114-117 (April 1984).
- Andrea Krasa Sethi and Suresh P. Sethi, “Flexibility in Manufacturing: A Survey,” International Journal of Flexible Manufacturing Systems, (July 1990).
- Jerry Flint, “More Models, Fewer Platforms,” Ward’s Auto World (April 2006).
- Drew Winter, “Critical Differences,” Ward’s Auto World (June 2006).
- Alisa Priddle, “Dean of Lean,” Ward’s Auto World (May 2003).
- Drew Winter, “Detroit, Get Flexible Fast,” Ward’s Auto World (September 2002).

2. A film will show the new machine tools of Fritz Werner Werkzeugmaschinen AG, Berlin and demonstrate their newer strategic shift into the high-volume market.

3. Class introduction: What are your experiences and interests in flexible automation? What types of automation are you familiar with?

Session 5, September 18 - Introduction of New Technology, Strategic Automation Acquisition, and Other Types of Flexible Automation.

Learning objective for this session:

- **Become familiar with the problems and typical pitfalls in first evaluating, then implementing, a new technology.**

1. Read:

- Bharat K. Kaku, "Fitting Flexible Manufacturing Systems to the Task," Industrial Engineering, Vol. 26, No. 11 (November 1994).
- Robert S. Kaplan, "Must CIM be Justified by Faith Alone?," Harvard Business Review, Vol. 64, No. 2 (March-April, 1986).

2. Prepare Chaircraft (B).

- a. Evaluate the key management decisions made concerning the Hancock Cutter:
  - Decision to purchase the automated equipment;
  - Implementation decisions.
- b. What should Mr. Mitchell do now?
- c. What are the long-run implications of the Hancock Cutter experience for Chaircraft?

Session 6, September 25 - Midterm Exam.

Session 7, October 2 - Quality Function Deployment in Flexible Manufacturing: Guest speaker, Glenn Mazur, President, Japan Business Consultants, Ltd. and Director of the QFD Institute.

Learning objectives for this session:

- **See how customer value can be connected to manufacturing setup requirements.**
- **Understand that flexible manufacturing delivers value because it allows a company to vary its products in accordance to customer needs.**

Read:

- "Jurassic QFD: Integrating Service and Product Quality Function Deployment," Eleventh Symposium on Quality Function Deployment, Novi, Michigan (June 1999).
- "Mass Customization at Hewlett-Packard: The Power of Postponement," HBR, (Jan-Feb 1997).

Session 8, October 9 - Standard for the Exchange of Product Model Data.

Learning objectives for this session:

- **Understand the concepts of STEP, an extensive data exchange standard.**
- **Become familiar with the requirements for representation and exchange of CNC manufacturing data.**
- **Investigate the future of STEP to extend beyond just product data, to include process and resource data.**

1. Read:

- "Machining, Programming, Networking Converge for Cancer Treatment," Modern Applications News (March 2005).
- "Keeping up with STEP," STEP Tools, Inc. (August 2003).
- John Teresko, "The Next Step," Industry Week (May 2001).
- Mark Albert, "STEP NC - The End of G-Codes?," Modern Machine Shop (July 2000).

2. A short automation film about STEP will be shown.

**Monday, October 13 -The one-page paper summary is due.**

Session 9, October 16 - Professor Milind Dawande will present new research on better sequencing and scheduling of robotic cells.

Learning objectives for this session:

- **Become familiar with the various types of robotic cells, characterized by robot type, robot travel time, types of parts processed, and use of parallel machines.**
- **Understand the concepts of cyclic solutions for improved productivity of robotic cells in repetitive manufacturing.**
- **Learn algorithms to find optimal cyclic solutions for various types of robotic cells.**
- **Hear about exciting open research issues.**

1. Read:

- S.P. Sethi et al., "Sequencing of Parts and Robot Moves in a Robotic Cell," International Journal of Flexible Manufacturing Systems, Vol. 4 (1992).
- M. Dawande et al., "Sequencing and Scheduling in Robotics Cells: Recent Developments," Journal of Scheduling (2005).

2. Read:

- K.E. Stecke, "Design, Planning, Scheduling, and Control Problems of Flexible Manufacturing Systems," Annals of Operations Research, Vol. 3 (1985).
- Kathryn E. Stecke, "FMS Design and Operating Problems and Solutions," Proceedings of the Second Intelligent FA Symposium, Osaka, Japan (July 19-21, 1989).

3. A film featuring other types of automation, i.e., robotics applications, will be shown.

4. Read:

- "Robot Adds Horsepower to Motorcycle Aftermarket Shop," Modern Applications News (February 2007).
- "Robots Set Pace at Auto Supplier," Modern Applications News (December 2005).
- "Robots Break Out," Managing Automation (April 2002)
- David Brousell, "Here Come the Superheavyweights," Managing Automation (July 2002).
- James Manji, "Robots with Eyes Slice Costs, Boost Productivity at Volvo," Managing Automation (July 2000).
- "Robots Give Manufacturing a Vision of the Future," Modern Applications News (August 2000).

Session 10, Friday, October 24 - A tour of Texas Instruments DMOS5 Wafer Fab Plant in Dallas will be held. It is an integrated circuit manufacturing facility. It is the 15<sup>th</sup> largest clean room in the world. We'll tour the entire line, seeing the diffusion process, plasma etching, photolithography, chemical and mechanical polishing, and more. We'll talk with the Industrial Engineer who does the scheduling, and other operators. We can stay until our questions are answered.

Session 11, October 30 - Flexible Manufacturing Capacity Expansion Decisions, Implementation Plans, Technological Adoption Decisions, and Economic Justification.

Learning objectives for this session:

- **Understand the capacity implications of technology acquisition decisions over time.**
- **Develop an understanding of the cost calculations and capacity analyses for various alternatives.**

1. Read:

- "Get the Most from CNC Automation Investments," Modern Applications News (February 2007).
- "An Integrated Model for Part-Operation Allocation and Investments in CNC Technology," International Journal of Production Economics (December 2002).

- “VMCs Boost Production, Cut Cycle Time Up To 50%,” Modern Applications News (May 2001).
- Robin Cooper and Robert S. Kaplan, “The Promise-and Peril-of Integrated Cost Systems,” Harvard Business Review (July-August 1998).
- Arnick N. A. M. Boons, “Product Costing for Complex Manufacturing Systems,” International Journal of Production Economics, Vol. 55, No. 3 (August 1998).

2. Prepare Baker Precision Instruments, Inc.

- a. What should be done in the short term? How would you deal with the immediate capacity crunch? How would you phase in any new FMSs?
- b. How much capacity should be acquired and when? Develop a capacity expansion plan that takes into account demand projections from 1996 through 1999 so as to achieve the objectives of low cost, fast, reliable delivery, and good quality.
- c. What kind of capacity would you recommend Baker buy? In the long run, what are the pros and cons of both FMS types? Take into account both qualitative and quantitative factors.

Session 12, November 6 - *Sequential Development of Five FMSs in a Particular Japanese Company and FMS Planning, Scheduling, and Control Problems.*

Learning objectives for this session:

- **Understand the extreme difficulties in continuously increasing the level of automation over time.**
- **Acquire managerial insights into managing the technical assets of a company.**

1. Prepare Hitachi Seiki (Abridged).

- a. Compare the development of FMSs at Hitachi Seiki (in Narashino, Abiko 1, and Abiko 2). What explains the success (or lack of success) in each system?
- b. What are the major tasks to be managed in these FMSs? What is particularly difficult? What is required for untended operation? Compare and contrast the engineer's and the manufacturer's jobs in this automated environment.
- c. What should they do with regard to the three alternative proposals described on pages 9-11? Which should Hitachi Seiki pursue? Consider the following in answering the questions.
  1. what each option requires and will provide;
  2. what Hitachi Seiki is good at; and
  3. what the future developments are likely to be.
- d. What could you do if the dollar falls and the yen rises?

2. Read:

- K.E. Stecke, “Procedures to Determine Part Mix Ratios for Independent Demands in FMSs” IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management (November 1992).
- K.E. Stecke and Ilyong Kim, “A Flexible Approach to Part Type Selection in Flexible Flow Systems Using Part Mix Ratios,” International Journal of Production Research (January - February 1991)

Session 13, November 13 - *An Integrated, Completely Automated, Japanese Flexible Manufacturing Factory.*

Learning objectives for this session:

- **Understand the difficulties in effectively using and operating such highly automated, integrated systems.**
- **Develop an awareness of a new paradigm useful in measuring plant effectiveness.**



1. Read:

- “Moving, Machining, Testing Parts 24 Hours a Day,” Modern Applications News (March 2004).
- Raymond Chalmers, “A Journey to Japan,” Manufacturing Engineering, pp. 80-85 (September 1998).
- “Manufacturer's “Star Wars” Approach Boosts Productivity to Near 90%,” Modern Applications News (August 2000).
- “Manufacturer Uses Advanced Processes,” Metalworking Digest (September 2000).
- “Mazak's Brian Papke Discusses Machine Tools in the 21st Century,” Modern Applications News (September 2000).

2. Prepare Yamazaki Mazak (A).

- a. How much of the organizational structure and processes are transferrable to the factory in the United Kingdom?
- b. Under what conditions would Yamazaki want to consider adopting automated, centralized controls of its production process? What are the key factors that would drive such a decision?
- c. How can Yamazaki improve its capacity utilization? Where are the biggest problems? What are some potential solutions?
- d. How can an FMS Plant Manager measure the efficiency of the plant?

Session 14, November 20 - *The Future of Flexible Automation: Reconfigurable Manufacturing Systems.*

Learning objectives for this session:

- **Learn about several approaches to operate an FMS over time, where each approach is appropriate under different circumstances.**
- **Learn about the next generation in flexible manufacturing, reconfigurable manufacturing.**

1. We'll discuss the future developments of flexibility in manufacturing.

2. Read:

- “The Real Truth About the Virtual Factory,” Manufacturing Systems (September 2002).
- “Adaptive Control Optimizes Manufacturing Productivity,” Metalworking Digest (September 1998).
- Erin Callaway, “Manufacturing in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century,” Managing Automation (October 1999).
- Shamel Rushwin, “Manufacturing Strategies in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century,” Japan-US Symposium on Flexible Automation (July 2000).

Session 15, December 4 - *Group Paper Presentations.*

**Thursday, December 11** - *Papers due.*