## SACS Accreditation Standards and NSSE Responses.


 is recommended to start by interpreting only those items with three asterisks ( $\mathrm{p}<.001$ ) and to consult effect sizes (see below) in order to make judgments about the practical meaning of the results.

Effect size: This indicates the "practical significance" of the mean difference. It is calculated by dividing the mean difference by the standard deviation of the group with which the institution is being compared (consortium, Carnegie type, or NSSE 2005). In practice, an effect size of .2 is often considered small, . 5 moderate, and .8 large. A positive sign indicates that your institution's mean was greater, thus showing an affirmative result for the institution. A negative sign indicates the institution lags behind the comparison group, suggesting that the student behavior or institutional practice represented by the item may warrant attention. An exception to this interpretation is the "coming to class unprepared" item (item 1f.) where a negative sign is preferred(i.e., meaning fewer students reporting coming to class unprepared).
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| SACS | NSSE |  |  | YEAR |  |  |  | UT Dallas compared with: <br> UT Dallas 2006 \|Carnegie Peers NSSE 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |  | Mean | las compared with: <br> Carnegie Peers |  | Effect Size ${ }^{b}$ | NSSE 200 <br> Mean | Sig ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\underset{\text { Effect }}{\text { Sie }{ }^{\text {b }}}$ |
|  | Student Class |  | Count of students in combined categories expressed as in-year percent of respondents. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 3.9.3 } \\ \text { CONT'D } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | About how many hours do you spend in a typical 7-day week doing each of the following? $1=0 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{wk}, 2=1-5 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{wk}, 3=6-10 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{wk}, 4=11-15 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{wk}, 5=16-20 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{wk}, 6=21-25 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{wk}, 7=26-$ $30 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{wk}, 8=$ more than $30 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{wk}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.10 Participating in co-curricular activities (organizations, campus publications, student government, social fraternity or sorority. | First Year | 21 or more | 3\% | 2\% | 8\% | 4\% | 2.08 | 2.19 | *** | 2.17 |  | *** | -. 26 |
|  |  | Senior | 21 or more | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1.69 | 2.02 |  | -. 23 | 2.08 |  |  |
|  | Relaxing and socializing (watching TV, partying, etc.) | First Year | 21 or more | 22\% | 23\% | 28\% | 16\% | $\begin{aligned} & 4.26 \\ & 3.31 \end{aligned}$ | 3.90 | $\begin{aligned} & * * \\ & * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .21 \\ -.11 \end{gathered}$ | 3.79 | $\begin{gathered} * * * \\ * * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .28 \\ -.11 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Senior | 21 or more | 11\% | 5\% | 9\% | 7\% |  | 3.49 |  |  | 3.48 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | To what extent does your institution emphasize each of the following? 1=very little, 2=some, 3=quite a bit, 4=very much |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.10 Providing the support you need to thrive socially | First Year | Quite a bit/Very Much | 34\% | 24\% | 32\% | 28\% | $\begin{aligned} & 2.18 \\ & 1.93 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.37 \\ & 2.15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * * * \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.21 \\ & -.23 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.37 \\ & 2.14 \end{aligned}$ | *** | -. 21 |
|  |  | Senior | Quite a bit/Very Much | 23\% | 17\% | 14\% | 12\% |  |  |  |  |  | *** | -. 23 |
|  | 2.10 Attending campus events and activities (special speakers, cultural performances, athletic | First Year | Quite a bit/Very Much | 52\% | 55\% | 44\% | 52\% | 2.55 | 2.78 | *** | -. 25 | 2.75 | *** | -. 21 |
|  |  | Senior | Quite a bit/Very Much | 36\% | 44\% | 34\% | 24\% | 2.25 | 2.59 | *** | -. 36 | 2.57 | *** | -. 34 |

