

Instructional Assessment System

MATH 3303 501
Mathematical Sciences
School of Natural Sci. & Math.
University of Texas at Dallas
ELEC

Thomas Butts
Professor

Autumn 2003
DEPARTMENT COPY

STUDENT EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTION

E=Excellent; VG=Very Good; G=Good; F=Fair; P=Poor; VP=Very Poor

	No. Resp's	PERCENTAGES ¹						MEDIAN	DECILE RANK	
		E	VG	G	F	P	VP		Institutio	College
1. The course as a whole was:	13	23	31	31	8		8	3.6	3 ***	3 ***
2. The course content was:	13	15	38	31	8		8	3.6	3 ***	3 ***
3. The instructor's contribution to the course was:	13	46	31	8	8		8	4.4	5 *****	6 *****
4. The instructor's effectiveness in teaching the subj. matter was:	13	31	38	15		8	8	4.0	4 ****	5 *****
COMBINED ITEMS 1-4	52	29	35	21	6	2	8	3.9	4 ****	5 *****
5. Course organization was:	13	15	38	31	8		8	3.6	3 ***	3 ***
6. Clarity of instructor's voice was:	13	38	38	15	8			4.2	3 ***	4 ****
7. Explanations by instructor were:	13	15	46	23	8		8	3.8	3 ***	4 ****
8. Instr's ability to present alternative explan. when needed was:	13	23	31	23	8	15		3.6	2 **	3 ***
9. Instructor's use of examples and illustrations was:	13	31	31	31	8			3.9	4 ****	4 ****
10. Quality of questions or problems raised by instructor was:	13	31	15	38	15			3.4	2 **	2 **
11. Student confidence in instructor's knowledge was:	13	69	15	15				4.8	7 *****	7 *****
12. Instructor's enthusiasm was:	13	23	54	23				4.0	3 ***	4 ****
13. Encouragement given students to express themselves was:	13	23	62	15				4.1	3 ***	5 *****
14. Answers to student questions were:	13	23	31	38	8			3.6	3 ***	2 **
15. Availability of extra help when needed was:	13	62	15	23				4.7	8 *****	8 *****
16. Use of class time was:	13	54	8	31		8		4.6	8 *****	8 *****
17. Instructor's interest in whether students learned was:	13	46	31	8	15			4.4	6 *****	7 *****
18. Amount you learned in the course was:	13	8	46	15	8	15	8	3.6	3 ***	3 ***
19. Relevance and usefulness of course content were:	13	23	23	23	31			3.3	2 **	2 **
20. Evaluative and grading techniques (tests, papers, etc.) were:	13	31	23	38		8		3.7	3 ***	3 ***
21. Reasonableness of assigned work was:	13	8	38	31	8	8	8	3.4	2 **	2 **
22. Clarity of student responsibilities and requirements was:	13	23	15	46	8		8	3.3	1 *	1 *

Relative to other college courses you have taken:

		Much Higher	Average	Much Lower						
23. Do you expect your grade in this course to be:	12	8	25	8	42	8	8	4.3	1 *	2 **
24. The intellectual challenge presented was:	13	46	23	8	15		8	6.3	9 *****	9 *****
25. The amount of effort you put into this course was:	13	23	31	31	15			5.6	6 *****	6 *****
26. The amount of effort to succeed in this course was:	12	33	17	25	17		8	5.5	4 ****	4 ****
27. Your involvement in course (assignments, attendance, etc.) was:	11	27	18	36	18			5.4	3 ***	4 ****

28. On average, how many hours per week have you spent on this course, including attending classes, readings, reviewing notes, writing papers and any other course related work? (Percentages)	29. From the total average hours above, how many do you consider were valuable in advancing your education? (Percentages)	30. What grade do you expect in this course? (Percentages)	31. In regard to your academic program, is this course best described as: (Percentages)
Under 2	Under 2	A (3.9-4.0) 8	In your major? 23
2-3 8	2-3 38	A- (3.5-3.8) 23	A distribution requirement? 9
4-5 46	4-5 23	B+ (3.2-3.4) 15	An elective? 15
6-7 8	6-7 15	B (2.9-3.1) 23	In your minor? 8
8-9	8-9	B- (2.5-2.8) 15	A program requirement? 46
10-11 8	10-11	C+ (2.2-2.4) 8	Other? 8
12-13 15	12-13 23	C (1.9-2.1)	
14-15 8	14-15	C- (1.5-1.8) 8	
16-17	16-17	D+ (1.2-1.4)	
18-19 8	18-19	D (0.9-1.1)	
20-21	20-21	D- (0.7-0.8)	
22 or more	22 or more	E (0.0)	
No. Resp's 13	No. Resp's 13	Pass	Enrollment: 16
Class median 5.3	Class median 4.5	Credit	Returned forms: 13
Hours per credit 0.12	Hours per credit 0.10	No Credit	Form: A
		No. Resp's 13	Chair Copy: No
		Class median 3.1	

1. Percentages are based on the number of students who rated each item.