

Instructional Assessment System

PHYS 3330 501
Physics
School of Natural Sci. & Math.
University of Texas at Dallas

Jianlong Hu
Lecturer
Autumn 2007

DEPARTMENT COPY

STUDENT EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTION

PERCENTAGES¹

E=Excellent; VG=Very Good; G=Good; F=Fair; P=Poor; VP=Very Poor

Respondents	PERCENTAGES ¹						MEDIAN	DECILE RANK	
	E (5)	VG (4)	G (3)	F (2)	P (1)	VP (0)		Institution	College
1. The course as a whole was:	11	18	27	36	18		3.4	1*	2**
2. The course content was:	11	18	18	64			3.3	1*	2**
3. The instructor's contribution to the course was:	11	18	36	45			3.6	2**	2**
4. The instructor's effectiveness in teaching the subj. matter was:	11	18	27	45	9		3.4	1*	2**
COMBINED ITEMS 1-4	44	18	27	48	7		3.4	1*	2**
5. Course organization was:	11	9	18	64	9		3.1	1*	1*
6. Sequential presentation of concepts was:	10	10	40	50			3.5	2**	2**
7. Explanations by instructor were:	11	9	18	64	9		3.1	1*	1*
8. Instr's ability to present alternative explan. when needed was:	10	10		50	40		2.7	0	0
9. Instructor's use of examples and illustrations was:	10	20	20	50		10	3.3	1*	2**
10. Instructor's enhancement of student interest in the material was:	11	18	27	55			3.4	2**	3***
11. Student confidence in instructor's knowledge was:	11	9	64	27			3.9	1*	1*
12. Instructor's enthusiasm was:	11	18	55	27			3.9	2**	3***
13. Clarity of course objectives was:	10	20	20	50	10		3.3	1*	2**
14. Interest level of class sessions was:	10	20		60	20		3.0	1*	2**
15. Availability of extra help when needed was:	11	27	27	27	9	9	3.7	3***	3***
16. Use of class time was:	9	22	11	67			3.3	1*	1*
17. Instructor's interest in whether students learned was:	10	20	40	30	10		3.8	2**	3***
18. Amount you learned in the course was:	10	20	20	40	20		3.3	1*	2**
19. Relevance and usefulness of course content were:	10	20	20	60			3.3	1*	2**
20. Evaluative and grading techniques (tests, papers, etc.) were:	11	9	36	27	27		3.3	1*	2**
21. Reasonableness of assigned work was:	10	20	20	50	10		3.3	1*	2**
22. Clarity of student responsibilities and requirements was:	10	20	20	60			3.3	1*	1*

Much Higher (7) (6) Average (5) (4) (3) Lower (2) (1)

Relative to other college courses you have taken:

23. Do you expect your grade in this course to be:	10	30	10	60			4.3	1*	2**
24. The intellectual challenge presented was:	10	20	40	30	10		4.8	1*	1*
25. The amount of effort you put into this course was:	10	10	60	10	10	10	4.8	2**	3***
26. The amount of effort to succeed in this course was:	8	12	12	50	12	12	4.0	0	0
27. Your involvement in course (assignments, attendance, etc.) was:	9	44	11	22	11	11	5.0	2**	2**

28. On average, how many hours per week have you spent on this course?	Percent	29. From the total average hours spent, how many do you consider were valuable in advancing your education?	Percent	30. What grade do you expect in this course?	Percent	31. In regard to your academic program, is this course best described as:	Percent
	10 Under 2		20 Under 2	50 A (3.9-4.0)		90 In your major	
	10 2-3		10 2-3	10 A- (3.5-3.8)		A distribution requirement	
	40 4-5		40 4-5	20 B+ (3.2-3.4)		10 An elective	
	30 6-7		20 6-7	20 B (2.9-3.1)		In your minor	
	8-9		10 8-9	10 B- (2.5-2.8)		A program requirement	
	10 10-11		10 10-11	10 C+ (2.2-2.4)		Other	
	12-13		10 12-13	C (1.9-2.1)			
	14-15		12-13	C- (1.5-2.1)			
	16-17		14-15	D+ (1.2-1.4)			
	18-19		16-17	D (0.9-1.1)			
	20-21		18-19	D- (0.7-0.8)			
	22 or more		20-21	E (0.0)			
Respondents: 10		Respondents: 10		Pass		Challenge and Engagement Index	
Class median: 5.0		Class median: 4.5		Credit		CEI = 1*	(decile rank)
Hours per credit: 1.67		Hours per credit: 1.50		No Credit			
				Respondents: 10			
				Class median: 3.8			

1. Percentages are based on the number of students who rated each item

AU07:02082

Respondents: 11

B

Mailbox: